

# On certain permutation representations of the braid group. Part II

Valentin Vankov Iliev  
 Section of Algebra,  
 Institute of Mathematics and Informatics,  
 Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria  
 e-mail: viliev@math.bas.bg

April 19, 2010

## Abstract

In [1] we find certain finite homomorphic images of Artin braid group into appropriate symmetric groups, which *a posteriori* are extensions of the symmetric group on  $n$  letters by an abelian group. The main theorem of this paper characterizes completely the extensions of this type that are split.

**Key words:** Artin braid group, permutation representation, split extension

## 1 Introduction

This paper is a natural continuation of [1] and we use freely the terminology and notation used there.

In [1, Theorem 9,(iii)] we prove that the braid-like permutation group  $B_n(\sigma)$  is an extension of the symmetric group  $\Sigma_n$  by the abelian group  $A_n(q)$  from [1, (3)], and, moreover, give a sufficient condition for this extension to split. Theorem 2 is the final version of [1, Theorem 9, (iii)], and proves a necessary and sufficient condition for  $B_n(\sigma)$  to be a semi-direct product of the symmetric group  $\Sigma_n$  and the abelian group  $A_n(q)$ .

## 2 The main theorem (continued)

Making use of [1, Theorem 9,(iii)], we have that the group  $B_n(\sigma)$  is an extension of the symmetric group  $\Sigma_n$  by the abelian group  $A_n(q)$  from [1, (3)], where  $q_2 = \frac{q}{2}$ , and the corresponding monodromy homomorphism is defined in [1, Proposition 11].

Any (set-theoretic) section  $\rho: \Sigma_n \rightarrow B_n(\sigma)$  of the surjective homomorphism  $\pi$  from the short exact sequence in the proof of [1, Theorem 9,(iii)] produces an

element  $(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$  of the direct sum  $\coprod_{s=1}^{n-1} A_n(q)$  via the rule  $\rho(\theta_s) = \sigma_s a_s$ ,  $s = 1, \dots, n-1$ .

The next lemma is proved in a more general situation. Let  $A$  be a (left)  $\Sigma_n$ -module, and let

$$m: \Sigma_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A), \quad \theta_s \mapsto \iota_s, \quad s = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

be the corresponding structure homomorphism. Let us set

$$J_s = \iota_s + 1, \quad s = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

$$I_{r,r+1} = \iota_r \iota_{r+1} \iota_r = \iota_{r+1} \iota_r \iota_{r+1}, \quad r = 1, \dots, n-2,$$

where  $\iota_{r,r+1} = \iota_r \iota_{r+1} \iota_r = \iota_{r+1} \iota_r \iota_{r+1}$ . Note that  $J_s$  and  $I_{r,r+1}$  are endomorphisms of the abelian group  $A$ .

Let  $G$  be a braid-like group, let  $g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}$  be a generating set of  $G$  that satisfies the braid relations, and let  $\gamma: B_n \rightarrow G$  be the corresponding surjective homomorphism. Let  $\nu: B_n \rightarrow \Sigma_n$  be the analogous surjective homomorphism, corresponding to the set of generators  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1}$  of the symmetric group  $\Sigma_n$ . Moreover, let  $G$  be an extension of  $\Sigma_n$  by a  $\Sigma_n$ -module  $A$ ,

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} G \xrightarrow{\pi} \Sigma_n \longrightarrow 1, \quad (1)$$

where  $\nu = \pi \circ \gamma$ . We identify  $A$  with the normal abelian subgroup  $i(A) \leq G$  and for any  $s = 1, \dots, n-1$  denote the elements  $g_s^2 \in A$  by  $f_s$ .

**Lemma 1** *The extension (1) splits if and only if the linear system*

$$\begin{cases} J_s(a_s) = -f_s \\ s = 1, \dots, n-1 \\ I_{r,r+1}(a_r - a_{r+1}) = 0 \\ r = 1, \dots, n-2, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

has a solution  $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in A$ .

**Proof:** The extension (1) splits if and only if there exists a section  $\rho$  of  $\pi$ , which is a homomorphism of groups. By [2, Theorem 4.1], this comes to showing that there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in A$ , such that the elements  $g_s a_s$ ,  $s = 1, \dots, n-1$ , of the group  $G$  are involutions, and satisfy the braid relations. The equalities  $(g_s a_s)^2 = 1$  are equivalent to

$$g_s^2 \iota_s(a_s) a_s = 1. \quad (3)$$

The equalities  $g_r a_r g_{r+1} a_{r+1} g_r a_r = g_{r+1} a_{r+1} g_r a_r g_{r+1} a_{r+1}$  are equivalent to

$$g_r g_{r+1} g_r (\iota_r \iota_{r+1})(a_r) \iota_r(a_{r+1}) a_r = g_{r+1} g_r g_{r+1} (\iota_{r+1} \iota_r)(a_{r+1}) \iota_{r+1}(a_r) a_{r+1}.$$

Since  $g_s$ ,  $s = 1, \dots, n-1$ , satisfy the braid relations, we have

$$(\iota_r \iota_{r+1})(a_r) \iota_r(a_{r+1}) a_r = (\iota_{r+1} \iota_r)(a_{r+1}) \iota_{r+1}(a_r) a_{r+1}. \quad (4)$$

Writing (3) and (4) additively, we have that the elements  $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in A$  satisfy the linear system

$$\begin{cases} J_s(a_s) = -f_s, \\ s = 1, \dots, n-1 \\ (\iota_r \iota_{r+1})(a_r) + \iota_r(a_{r+1}) + a_r = (\iota_{r+1} \iota_r)(a_{r+1}) + \iota_{r+1}(a_r) + a_{r+1}, \\ r = 1, \dots, n-2. \end{cases}$$

After substituting  $a_r$  and  $a_{r+1}$  from the first group equations into the second one for  $r = 1, \dots, n-2$ , we obtain the linear system (2).

Now, we introduce some notation. Let

$$\mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/(q))^n, \quad a \mapsto \bar{a},$$

be the canonical surjective homomorphism of abelian groups. Note that the elements  $\bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_{n-1}, \bar{f}_n$ , form a basis for the  $\mathbb{Z}/(q)$ -module  $(\mathbb{Z}/(q))^n$ . The elements  $\bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_{n-1}, \bar{g}_1, \dots, \bar{g}_{n-2}$ , generate  $A_n(\sigma)$  as a subgroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}/(q))^n$ , and the group  $A_n(\sigma)$  contains the elements  $\bar{h}_1, \dots, \bar{h}_n$ . Moreover, there exists an isomorphism of abelian groups

$$A_n(\sigma) \simeq \mathbb{Z}\bar{f}_1/(q)\bar{f}_1 \amalg \dots \amalg \mathbb{Z}\bar{f}_{n-1}/(q)\bar{f}_{n-1} \amalg \mathbb{Z}\bar{h}_n/(q)\bar{h}_n.$$

On the other hand, the group  $(\mathbb{Z}/(q))^n$  has a structure of  $\Sigma_n$ -module, obtained via the isomorphism

$$(\mathbb{Z}/(q))^n \rightarrow \langle \tau \rangle^{(n)},$$

and  $A_n(\sigma)$  is its  $\Sigma_n$ -submodule. Throughout the end of the paper we consider  $J_s$  and  $I_{r,r+1}$  as endomorphisms of the  $\mathbb{Z}/(q)$ -module  $(\mathbb{Z}/(q))^n$ .

**Theorem 2** *The extension from [1, Theorem 9, (iii)] splits if and only if 4 does not divide  $q$ .*

**Proof:** We consider several cases.

Case 1.  $q$  is an odd number. We set  $a_s = -\frac{1}{2}\bar{f}_s$ ,  $s = 1, \dots, n-1$ , where  $\frac{1}{2}$  is taken modulo  $q$ . Then the first  $n-1$  equations of (2) are satisfied. Further, for any  $r = 1, \dots, n-2$ , we have

$$I_{r,r+1}(a_r - a_{r+1}) = -\frac{1}{2}I_{r,r+1}(\bar{f}_r - \bar{f}_{r+1}),$$

and

$$I_{r,r+1}(\bar{f}_r) = I_{r,r+1}(\bar{f}_{r+1}) = \bar{f}_r + \bar{f}_{r+1} + \bar{g}_r.$$

Therefore, the last  $n-2$  equations of (2) are satisfied, too. This solution of the linear system (2) is a particular case of the solutions, used in the proof of [1, Theorem 9, (iii)].

Case 2.  $q \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .

Then  $q = 2q_2$ , where  $q_2$  is an odd number. Let us consider the linear system (2) over the  $\mathbb{Z}/(q)$ -module  $(\mathbb{Z}/(q))^n$ . We are looking for solutions of (2) in the abelian group  $A_n(\sigma)$  considered as a  $\mathbb{Z}/(q)$ -submodule of  $(\mathbb{Z}/(q))^n$ . The reduction of  $A_n(\sigma)$  modulo  $q_2$  is the  $\mathbb{Z}/(q_2)$ -module  $(\mathbb{Z}/(q_2))^n$ , and in accord with Case 1, the reduction of the linear system (2) modulo  $q_2$  is consistent. Now, let us reduce the system (2) modulo 2. The reduction of  $A_n(\sigma)$  modulo 2 is the  $\mathbb{Z}/(2)$ -linear space  $M = \mathbb{Z}/(2)^{n-1}$ , and

$$\begin{cases} a_r = \sum_{t=r}^{n-2} \bar{f}_t \\ r = 1, \dots, n-3 \\ a_{n-2} = \bar{f}_{n-1} \\ a_{n-1} = \bar{f}_{n-2}. \end{cases}$$

is a solution of the linear system (2) in  $M$ . Therefore the reduction of the linear system (2) modulo 2 is consistent over  $A_n(\sigma)$ . Thus, the linear system (2) over the  $\mathbb{Z}/(q)$ -module  $(\mathbb{Z}/(q))^n$  is consistent, too.

Case 3.  $q \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .

Let  $a = \sum_{t=1}^{n-1} x_t \bar{f}_t + y \bar{h}_n$  be a generic element of the abelian group  $A_n(\sigma)$ . We have

$$J_1(a) = (2x_1 + x_2) \bar{f}_1 + 2 \sum_{t=3}^{n-1} [(-1)^{t-1} x_2 + 2x_t] \bar{f}_t + [(-1)^{n-1} x_2 + 2y] \bar{h}_n,$$

and the equality  $J_1(a) = -f_1$  yields  $2x_1 + x_2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ , and  $2(-1)^{n-1} x_2 + 4y \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ , which is a contradiction.

## References

- [1] V. V. Iliev, On certain permutation representations of the braid group, arXiv:0910.1727v2 [math.GR].
- [2] Ch. Kassel, V. Turaev, *Braid Groups*, Springer, 2008.